

SUSY Extended Higgs Sector and SUSY Strong Dynamics

Toshifumi Yamada

*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo,
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, JAPAN*

We consider an extended Higgs sector that arises as a low-energy description of a strongly-coupled SUSY gauge theory. This model predicts the presence of extra superfields that couple with the Higgs superfields through large coupling constants. Large radiative corrections involving the extra fields can realize strongly first order electroweak phase transition, which is a vital requirement for electroweak baryogenesis scenario. At the same time, the large radiative corrections lead to significant deviations in the Higgs boson triple coupling and Higgs-to-diphoton branching ratio, which are testable at the ILC.

I. INTRODUCTION

Although the Higgs-like boson has been discovered, we have not yet revealed the structure of the Higgs potential. In building models of the Higgs sector, we adopt electroweak baryogenesis scenario as a guiding principle. It is known that successful electroweak baryogenesis [1] relies on sufficient amount of CP violation and strongly first order electroweak phase transition (EWPT), both of which are not realized in the standard model (SM) with 126 GeV Higgs boson mass. The latter condition on EWPT is directly connected to the structure of the Higgs potential and may give a clue to the study on the Higgs sector. We therefore discuss extensions of the SM Higgs sector that enable strongly first order EWPT. In general, models that realize strongly first order EWPT through enhanced thermal cubic term necessarily contain large coupling constants in the Higgs sector, which blow up at a Landau pole below the Planck scale. Extended Higgs models with large coupling constants in the Higgs sector have been investigated in refs. [2]. In such cases, the models must be replaced by more fundamental theories above the Landau pole.

We propose an ultraviolet (UV) complete model of an extended Higgs sector that incorporates such large coupling constants [3, 4]. Our model is based on supersymmetric (SUSY) $SU(2)$ gauge theory with six doublets, similar to the minimal fat Higgs model [5]. This gauge theory becomes strongly-coupled at an infrared (IR) scale, which we call ‘confinement scale’, and below that scale, the theory is described in terms of mesonic superfields with an emergent effective superpotential which contains large coupling constants. We identify the mesonic superfields with the Higgs superfields of the SUSY SM as well as extra iso-spin doublet and singlet chiral superfields in an extended Higgs sector, and identify the effective superpotential with that of the extended Higgs sector. In this way, we introduce, with a solid UV completion, extra superfields that couple with the Higgs superfields through large coupling constants. A feature of the model is that the scale at which the large coupling constants blow up is identified with the confinement scale of the SUSY gauge theory.

We investigate the phenomenology of the SUSY extended Higgs sector that has been obtained as the low-energy effective theory of the SUSY $SU(2)$ gauge theory. With a benchmark mass spectrum, we calculate the strength of EWPT and look for parameter regions where strongly first order EWPT occurs. We further calculate the triple coupling constant of the SM-like Higgs boson and the decay branching ratio into di-photon, whose deviations from the SM values give collider signatures of the model.

II. MODEL

We consider a SUSY extended Higgs sector that emerges as a low-energy effective theory of a new SUSY $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory with six doublet chiral superfields, which are also charged under SM gauge groups $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. A Z_2 parity is assigned to the doublets to forbid large flavor changing neutral currents in the resultant extended Higgs sector. One can say that the SUSY $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory is the UV picture of the model. The field content of the SUSY $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory is summarized in Table 1.

The $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory becomes strongly-coupled at an IR scale, which we call ‘confinement scale’ Λ_H , and below Λ_H , the low-energy effective theory is described in terms of mesonic chiral superfields. In our model, the mesonic superfields are identified with the Higgs doublets of the SUSY SM as well as extra chiral superfields in the extended Higgs sector. One can say that the extended Higgs sector is the IR picture of the model. The Higgs sector contains two $SU(2)_L$ doublet, two charged singlet and five neutral singlet chiral superfields, in addition to the two Higgs doublets of the SUSY SM. The field content is summarized in Table 2.

Field	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	Z_2
$\begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{pmatrix}$	2	0	+
T_3	1	+1/2	+
T_4	1	-1/2	+
T_5	1	+1/2	-
T_6	1	-1/2	-

TABLE I: SM charge and Z_2 parity assignments on the $SU(2)_H$ doublets, T_i .

Field	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	Z_2
H_u	2	+1/2	+
H_d	2	-1/2	+
Φ_u	2	+1/2	-
Φ_d	2	-1/2	-
Ω^+	1	+1	-
Ω^-	1	-1	-
ζ, η	1	0	-
N, N_Φ, N_Ω	1	0	+

TABLE II: The chiral superfields in the extended Higgs sector.

The scalar components of the superfields N, N_Φ, N_Ω gain vacuum expectation values, which give effective μ -terms for the other superfields. The physical components of N_Φ, N_Ω do not contribute to the one-loop effective potential for the SUSY SM Higgs scalars, and hence can be neglected in phenomenological studies. The superpotential of the phenomenologically relevant part of the Higgs sector is then given by

$$W_{Higgs} = -\mu H_u H_d - \mu_\Phi \Phi_u \Phi_d - \mu_\Omega (\Omega^+ \Omega^- - \zeta \eta) + \hat{\lambda} \{ n H_u H_d + H_d \Phi_u \zeta + H_u \Phi_d \eta - H_u \Phi_u \Omega^- - H_d \Phi_d \Omega^+ \}, \quad (1)$$

where n denotes the physical component of N . $\hat{\lambda}$ denotes a running coupling constant for the superfields in the extended Higgs sector. Naïve Dimensional Analysis (NDA) [6] suggests that the coupling constant $\hat{\lambda}$ becomes non-perturbative at a similar scale where the SUSY $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory in the UV picture becomes strongly-coupled, *i.e.*, the confinement scale Λ_H . Therefore, with a given renormalization group equation of $\hat{\lambda}$, the value of $\hat{\lambda}$ at the electroweak scale is in one-to-one correspondence with the confinement scale Λ_H .

The soft SUSY breaking terms are introduced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{soft} = & -m_{H_u}^2 H_u^\dagger H_u - m_{H_d}^2 H_d^\dagger H_d - m_{\Phi_u}^2 \Phi_u^\dagger \Phi_u - m_{\Phi_d}^2 \Phi_d^\dagger \Phi_d \\ & - m_{\Omega^+}^2 \Omega^{+\dagger} \Omega^+ - m_{\Omega^-}^2 \Omega^{-\dagger} \Omega^- - m_\zeta^2 \zeta^\dagger \zeta - m_\eta^2 \eta^\dagger \eta \\ & - B\mu H_u H_d - B\mu_\Phi \Phi_u \Phi_d - B\mu_\Omega (\Omega^+ \Omega^- - \zeta \eta) \\ & - A_\zeta H_d \Phi_u \zeta - A_\eta H_u \Phi_d \eta - A_{\Omega^-} H_u \Phi_u \Omega^- - A_{\Omega^+} H_d \Phi_d \Omega^+. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Similar to the minimal SUSY SM, electroweak symmetry breaking occurs with the help of soft SUSY breaking terms.

The Yukawa couplings, including the top quark Yukawa couplings, are introduced in the same way as in the minimal fat Higgs model [5].

III. ELECTROWEAK PHASE TRANSITION IN THE MODEL

Using finite-temperature effective potential and the methods introduced in [7, 8], we make a numerical analysis on the order of EWPT, v_C/T_C , where T_C and v_C respectively denote the critical temperature and the value of

the order parameter at that temperature.

The benchmark mass spectrum is as follows. For the SUSY SM sector,

$$\begin{aligned} \tan \beta &= 15, \quad m_{H^\pm} = 350 \text{ GeV}, \quad \mu = 200 \text{ GeV}, \\ \tilde{M}_{\tilde{t}} &= \tilde{M}_{\tilde{b}} = 2000 \text{ GeV}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{t}}$ and $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{b}}$ respectively denote the soft SUSY breaking masses for the SUSY tops and bottoms. For the Z_2 -odd sector,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\Phi &= \mu_\Omega = 550 \text{ GeV}, \\ \bar{m}_{\Phi_d} &= \bar{m}_{\Omega^+} = \bar{m}_\zeta = 1500 \text{ GeV}, \quad \bar{m}_\eta = 2000 \text{ GeV}, \\ (\text{Aterms}, \text{Bterms}) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{m}_*$ denotes the square root of the sum of the μ -term squared and the soft SUSY breaking mass squared for the field $*$. The following two quantities are the free parameters in this analysis:

$$\lambda \left(\equiv \hat{\lambda}(M_Z) \right), \quad m_0 \left(\equiv \bar{m}_{\Phi_u} = \bar{m}_{\Omega^-} \right). \quad (5)$$

We tune the value of the stop mixing term to realize $m_h = 126 \text{ GeV}$.

In Figure 1, we show the contour plot for the coupling constant λ ($\equiv \hat{\lambda}(M_Z)$). The strength of EWPT, $v_C/T_C = 1$, is also displayed. We find that strongly first order phase transition, $v_C/T_C \gtrsim 1$, takes places with




FIG. 1: Contour plot for the coupling constant λ (black dashed lines) with a line corresponding to the strength of EWPT $v_C/T_C = 1$ (red solid line), on the plane of the mass of the lightest Z_2 -odd charged particle $m_{\Phi_1^\pm}$ and the mass of the lightest Z_2 -odd neutral particle $m_{\Phi_1^0}$. The parameters are fixed according to eqs. (3) and (4).

our benchmark mass spectrum for $\lambda \gtrsim 1.6$ when $m_{\Phi_1^0} \simeq 60 \text{ GeV}$ (for $\lambda \gtrsim 1.8$ when $m_{\Phi_1^0} \simeq 130 \text{ GeV}$). Note that, in our model, $\lambda \simeq 1.6$ corresponds to $\Lambda_H \simeq 15 \text{ TeV}$ and $\lambda \simeq 1.8$ does to $\Lambda_H \simeq 5 \text{ TeV}$.

IV. COLLIDER SIGNATURES OF THE MODEL

With the benchmark mass spectrum eqs. (3, 4, 5), we make a numerical analysis on the decay branching ratio of the Higgs boson into diphoton and the triple Higgs boson coupling, and study the correlation between these quantities and the strength of EWPT.

In Figure 2, we combine the contour plot for the ratio of the Higgs-to-diphoton branching ratio over its SM value, $\mu_{\gamma\gamma}$, with a line indicating the strength of EWPT, $v_C/T_C = 1$. We find that the Higgs-to-diphoton




FIG. 2: Contour plot for the ratio of $Br(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ over the SM value, $\mu_{\gamma\gamma}$ (black dashed lines), with a line corresponding to the strength of EWPT $v_c/T_c = 1$ (red solid line), on the plane of the mass of the lightest Z_2 -odd *charged* particle $m_{\Phi_1^\pm}$ and the mass of the lightest Z_2 -odd *neutral* particle $m_{\Phi_1^0}$. The parameters are fixed according to eqs. (3) and (4).




FIG. 3: Contour plot for the deviation of the triple Higgs boson coupling from the SM value, $\Delta\lambda_{hhh}/\lambda_{hhh}|_{SM}$ (black dashed lines), with a line corresponding to the strength of EWPT $v_c/T_c = 1$ (red solid line), on the plane of the mass of the lightest Z_2 -odd *charged* particle $m_{\Phi_1^\pm}$ and the mass of the lightest Z_2 -odd *neutral* particle $m_{\Phi_1^0}$. The parameters are fixed according to eqs. (3) and (4).

branching ratio decreases by more than 20 % with our benchmark mass spectrum when the strongly first order EWPT with $v_c/T_c \gtrsim 1$ is realized.

In Figure 3, we combine the contour plot for the deviation of the triple Higgs boson coupling from the SM value, $\Delta\lambda_{hhh}/\lambda_{hhh}|_{SM}$, with a line indicating the strength of EWPT, $v_c/T_c = 1$. We discover that, when the strongly first order EWPT with $v_c/T_c \gtrsim 1$ occurs with our benchmark spectrum, the triple Higgs boson coupling increases by more than about 20 % for $150 \text{ GeV} > m_{\Phi_1^0} > 50 \text{ GeV}$.

To summarize, we confirm that sufficiently strongly first order EWPT for successful EWBG can be realized

with our benchmark mass spectrum. In order to have $v_C/T_C \gtrsim 1$, we need $\lambda > 1.6$ provided the lightest Z_2 -odd neutral scalar is heavier than 50 GeV. This corresponds to the confinement scale Λ_H lower than about 15 TeV. In the parameter regions where strongly first order EWPT occurs, the Higgs-to-diphoton branching ratio, $Br(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$, and the triple Higgs boson coupling, λ_{hhh} , significantly deviate from the SM values. These are principally due to loop corrections involving light Z_2 -odd scalars, which are also responsible for strongly first order EWPT. With the benchmark mass spectrum, $Br(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ decreases by about 20% and λ_{hhh} increases by more than about 20%, both of which may be observed at the future International Linear Collider [9, 10].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have discussed the correlation among the strength of EWPT, the Higgs-to-diphoton branching ratio and the triple Higgs boson coupling in the extended Higgs sector with large coupling constants and the 126 GeV Higgs boson, which emerges as a low-energy effective theory of the SUSY $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory with confinement. In our benchmark mass spectrum, the condition of quick sphaleron decoupling for EWBG, $v_C/T_C \gtrsim 1$, determines the scale of the Landau pole to be below about 15 TeV, which corresponds to the confinement scale of the $SU(2)_H$ gauge theory. We have found that the Higgs-to-diphoton branching ratio deviates negatively from the SM prediction by about 20% and the triple Higgs boson coupling deviates positively by more than about 20%. Such deviations can be observed at future collider experiments.

Acknowledgment

TY thanks Shinya Kanemura (University of Toyama), Eibun Senaha (KIAS) and Tetsuo Shindou (Kogakuin University) for their collaboration on writing the papers [3, 4].

-
- [1] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **155** (1985) 36. For reviews on the EWBG, see A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **43** (1993) 27; M. Quiros, Helv. Phys. Acta **67** (1994) 451; V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **166** (1996) 493; K. Funakubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **96** (1996) 475; M. Trodden, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71** (1999) 1463; W. Bernreuther, Lect. Notes Phys. **591** (2002) 237; J. M. Cline, [arXiv:hep-ph/0609145]; D. E. Morrissey and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, [arXiv:1206.2942 [hep-ph]].
 - [2] S. Kanemura, Y. Okada, E. Senaha and C. -P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 115002; S. Kanemura, Y. Okada and E. Senaha, Phys. Lett. B **606** (2005) 361; S. Kanemura, E. Senaha and T. Shindou, Phys. Lett. B **706** (2011) 40; S. Kanemura, T. Shindou and K. Yagyu, Phys. Lett. B **699** (2011) 258.
 - [3] S. Kanemura, T. Shindou and T. Yamada, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 055023.
 - [4] S. Kanemura, E. Senaha, T. Shindou and T. Yamada, [arXiv:1211.5883 [hep-ph]].
 - [5] R. Harnik, G. D. Kribs, D. T. Larson and H. Murayama, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 015002 (2004) [hep-ph/0311349].
 - [6] H. Georgi, A. Manohar and G. W. Moore, Phys. Lett. B **149** (1984) 234; H. Georgi and L. Randall, Nucl. Phys. B **276** (1986) 241; M. A. Luty, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 1531 [arXiv:hep-ph/9706235]; A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Phys. Lett. B **412** (1997) 301 [arXiv:hep-ph/9706275].
 - [7] K. Funakubo and E. Senaha, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 115024 [arXiv:0905.2022 [hep-ph]].
 - [8] R. R. Parwani, Phys. Rev. D **45** (1992) 4695 [Erratum-ibid. D **48** (1993) 5965] [hep-ph/9204216].
 - [9] M. E. Peskin [arXiv:1207.2516 [hep-ph]].
 - [10] A. Djouadi, W. Kilian, M. Muhlleitner and P. M. Zerwas, Eur. Phys. J. C **10** (1999) 27 [hep-ph/9903229]; K. Fujii, talk given at LCWS12, “Higgs Physics at the LC and Requirements” <http://www.uta.edu/physics/lcws12/>